United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/655,828	09/05/2003	Phillip Craig Graves	64243.000015 7150	
I Michael Mar	7590 06/18/2007 tinez de Andino, Esq.	EXAMINER		
HUNTON & V	VILLIAMS	SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY		
Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 951 E. Byrd Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Richmond, VA		3692		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/655,828	GRAVES ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Narayanswamy Subramanian	3692		

	Narayanswamy Sub	bramanian	3692	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sho	eet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
ГНЕ	E REPLY FILED 30 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI			
1. 🗖	☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filir this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an ar places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 time periods:	ng a Notice of a mendment, aff appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) b)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX	from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
nave under set fo may r	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition urge been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after a reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nder 37 CFR 1.1 conding amount	36(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The appropriminally set in the final Officential O	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFI a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period ENDMENTS	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or se (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	of filing a brief, earch (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	ecause
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of the present additional claims.			he issues for
5. 🖳	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Not Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in non-allowable claim(s).			
. 6	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entere how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appen. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,8,16,17,19,20,22,25,27-29,31,33,34,36,37,39,42-44,50,51 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	nded.		xpianation of
4FFI	FIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the dat because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons v was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	te of filing a No why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
	 ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejection showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the 	ns under appea presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
REQ	QUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	Ciairiis aiter ei	nitry is below or attach	leu.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the	e application ir	n condition for allowar	ice because:
	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper N☐ Other:	No(s)		
	1	N. Sect		. •
		Prima	ay Exa	m'm)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new claims 72-76 include new limitations such as "performing the stored-value card processing requests responsive to a determination that either the respective requesting merchant terminal is part of the first group of merchant terminals or the communications network over which the stored-value card processing request is received is part of the first group of communications networks" that would require further consideration and/or search. In response to other arguments like lack of motivation to combine, impermissible hindsight, the combined references not teaching all the claimed elements, etc., the examiner respectfully diasgrees. As discussed in the last office action these have been addressed therein and hence the arguments are not persuasive.